





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/434,908	11	/05/1999	LANE T. HAUCK		0325.00281 4529		
21363	7590	03/03/2003			÷		
CHRISTOPHER P. MAIORANA, P.C.					EXAMINER		
24025 GRE SUITE 200				NGUYEN, CHANH DUY			
ST. CLAIR	ST. CLAIR SHORES, MI 48080				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2675		
					DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Q

·u·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/434,908	HAUCK, LANE T.	Ω
^ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chanh Nguyen	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the polication of the properties of the prope	ation. A proper reply high places the application.	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ce under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriate in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☐ The prepaged amont/s) will get be extered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	alanı, aadı ata a aa ata	ande dan Alan
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(a.a. (a.)		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-17 and 19-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. ☐ Other:		Charlos	ym
		Chanh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675	<i>V</i>



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations "simultaneous with" to claim 7, "first" to claim 13 as well as the limitation "with... driver" to claim 13 require further consideration and/or search since they were not presented before. Furthermore, the declaration on Lane Hauck under 37 C.F.R 1.131 require further consideration since it was not presented before (see MPEP 715.09).